UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,036	08/17/2005	Peter Forsell	2333-138	2949
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 06/04/200 NDERHVE PC	EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			CHENG, JACQUELINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	
٠.	
_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/530,036	FORSELL, PETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	, <u></u>		
	Jacqueline Cheng	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may imunication. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ply will, by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ARANDONED (35.U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 17 August 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C				
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	• •				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 April 200 Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected the specific transfer of the specific and the spec	$\frac{5}{2}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyong the correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	documents have been received.	Amalia - 41 11 -			
<u> </u>	documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee	Application No			
application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Treceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action		t received.			
	,				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/06 4/1/05.		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 2007	0522		

Application/Control Number: 10/530,036 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11-13, 15, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,222,374 (herein referred to as Sampson et al.) further in view of US Patent No. 6,798,193 B2 (herein referred to as Zimmerman et al.). Sampson et al. discloses an apparatus for locating a septum of an injection location. The septum of a device is located under the patient's skin (col. 1 line 18-20) in order to refill a reservoir of the device by injection with a needle through the patient's skin. But after implantation of the device, the septum will shift its position in the body as the patient moves. Sampson et al. discloses using a magnet and a magnetic sensor for the detector for the septum. The magnet comprises a permanent magnet, shaped like a ring (fig. 1 element 10), and/or arranged relative to the septum so to generate a magnetic field external to the patient's skin (col. 2 line 35-42). To detect the magnetic field a magnetic detector is moved along the patient's body over the general vicinity of the implanted device. The detector responds to the magnetic field with a visible indication, which could be an diode or a display, so that the physician can follow these indications to know where to inject the needle (col. 2 line 55-66). What Sampson et al. does not disclose is the magnetic

sensing device to have a semiconductor circuit and Hall elements. Zimmerman et al. discloses these missing elements. Zimmerman et al. discloses a magnetic sensing element that comprises semiconductor Hall elements (col. 1 line 27-28). It would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Zimmerman et al. with Sampson et al. as Sampson et al. discloses that the sensor can be anything that can sense the magnetic field of the implanted magnet. Zimmerman et al. discloses such a sensor.

Page 3

- Claim 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampson 3. et al. in view of Zimmerman et al. further in view of US Patent No. 4,123,772 (herein referred to as Janssen). Janssen discloses a magnetic sensor comprised of semiconductor Hall elements that are grouped in a square configuration (col. 5 line 16-25). It would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Janssen et al. with Sampson et al. as the particulars of a Hall element square configuration is well known in the art.
- Claims 7-9, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Sampson et al. in view of Zimmerman et al. further in view of US Patent No. 6,839,596 B2 (herein referred to as Nelson et al.). Sampson et al. and Zimmerman et al. discloses most of what is claimed. The only difference is that the positions of the magnetic sensor and device are switched. Nelson et al discloses that having the switched position of having a sensor under the skin and the device above the skin (col. 12 line 51-65, figure 1). Nelson et al. also discloses that the sensor implanted under the skin can send signals out of the body (col. 4 line 37-40). It would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine

Art Unit: 3768

Nelson et al. with Sampson et al. and Zimmerman et al. because switching the positions of the magnetic sensor and device does not change the function of the locating device. Nelson et al. just shows that having the sensor under the skin is known in the art.

- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampson et al. in view of Zimmerman et al. further in view of US Patent No. 4,267,509 (herein referred to as Graham). Although neither Sampson et al. nor Zimmerman et al. discloses that the magnetic detector emits a sound when detecting the local magnetic field, how the physician is alerted of the magnetic field detection is a design choice. One could use the visual indications as disclosed in Sampson et al., or if an operator prefers audible sounds one could emit a sound when the magnetic field is detected. Doing so is even well known in the art as disclosed by Graham. In Graham detection of a magnetic field results in production of an audible sound (col. 9 line 26-30).
- 6. Claims 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampson et al. in view of Zimmerman et al. further in view of US Patent No. 5,226429 (herein referred to as Kuzmak). Kuzmak discloses a gastric band placed around the stomach to treat obesity. The stomach is insufflated with gas and laparoscopical trocars are then placed in the patient's body. After the implantation an injection needle can be used to inject fluid through the injection port (abstract, col. 4 line 49-54, col. 5 line 66-col. 6. line 19). It would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kuzmak with

Art Unit: 3768

Sampson et al. and Zimmerman et al. because after the implantation there needs to be a way to locate the injection port so that the needle and its contents get properly injected.

7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampson et al. in view of Zimmerman et al. in view of Nelson et al. further in view Kuzmak. Kuzmak discloses a gastric band placed around the stomach to treat obesity. The stomach is insufflated with gas and laparoscopical trocars are then placed in the patient's body. After the implantation an injection needle can be used to inject fluid through the injection port (abstract, col. 4 line 49-54, col. 5 line 66-col. 6. line 19). It would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kuzmak with Sampson et al, Zimmerman et al. and Nelson et al. because after the implantation there needs to be a way to locate the injection port so that the needle and its contents get properly injected.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4,804,054 to Howson also discloses a device and method for precise subcutaneous placement of a medical instrument.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline Cheng whose telephone number is 571-272-5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,036

Art Unit: 3768

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571-272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6